

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

May 13, 2008

TO:

Councilmember Toni Atkins, Budget Review Committee Chair and Budget Review Chair and Budget Review Committee Chair and Budget Review Committee Chair and Budget Review Chair and B

Committee Councilmembers

FROM:

Nader Tirandazi, Financial Management Department Director

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2009 Budget Review Committee Referral Response

This memorandum is in response to questions asked at the Budget Review Committee Meeting held on May 1, 2008. The responses are grouped by department in the order that they were reviewed by the Committee.

ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS DEPARTMENT

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

QUESTION: Please explain why cuts were made to quality assurance and quality control when the Engineering & Capital Projects Business Process Reengineering (BPR) specifically underlined the importance of those areas.

RESPONSE: All Departments were asked to explore expense-saving measures for the Fiscal Year 2009 budget cycle. The Engineering & Capital Projects Department (E&CP) assessed its organizational structure, expected work load, and budget needs. Along with NPE reductions, four positions that could be cut with minimal impact to E&CP's ability to deliver the CIP Program were identified. One of the positions was an Assistant Engineer-Civil in the Standards & Quality Control Section of the Project Implementation & Technical Services Division. This cut will not eliminate E&CP's ability to provide quality assurance and quality control services as expressed in the Engineering & Capital Projects BPR. The section retains two other full time engineering positions to provide quality assurance and quality control services for the CIP Program.

QUESTION: Please identify any savings that will result from cuts in quality assurance and quality control, taking into account whether or not we will have to hire outside contractors to do this work for us.

Page 2

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE: The savings of the eliminated Assistant Engineer-Civil position is \$103K. It is anticipated that the two remaining positions (Associate Engineer-Civil and Assistant Engineer-Civil) that provide full time quality assurance and quality control services for the department will cover most of the CIP Program's needs. In addition, Resident Engineers from the Field Division will provide plan check reviews focused on the constructability of all CIP projects. Finally, all Project Managers will retain the ultimate responsibility for the quality of their projects. It is anticipated that consultants will only be used for specialty services outside the available resources of E&CP.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

QUESTION: Please describe where the money has gone that was allocated from the Mission Bay Fund into a specific account about two years ago.

RESPONSE: Referral Information is still being retrieved and will be provided in a subsequent memorandum.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

QUESTION: Please confirm that CIP 52737.0 "MTS Station Improvement Project" should be attributed to Council District 4 not Council District 3.

RESPONSE: CIP 52737.0 "MTS Station Improvement Project" will be attributed to Council District 4 in the final budget publication.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

QUESTION: Please explain why the City is studying CIP 52-743.0 "Euclid Avenue Corridor Improvements" when this project will be too expensive for the City of San Diego to undertake.

RESPONSE: The "Euclid Avenue Corridor" project is in the planning phase. Traffic studies are currently underway to evaluate the corridor and identify alternatives that may improve traffic flow. The methods and costs have not been identified.

QUESTION: Please advise when funding will become available to finish CIP 52-699.0 "Euclid Ave. Improvements-Home Avenue to Thorn Street".

RESPONSE: The Euclid Gateway project is fully funded. Completion of the project is contingent upon creation of a Maintenance Assessment District to ensure maintenance of the landscaping in perpetuity.

Page 3

May 13, 2008

QUESTION: Please explain why CIP 29-865.0 "Home Avenue Neighborhood Park-Development" and 36-069.0 "Permanent Canine and SWAT Facility" have not yet been funded.

RESPONSE: When the Police Garage Project was approved, the City Council directed the City Manager to use funding from the project to purchase the Home Avenue Park site. Funding the construction of the Home Avenue Park was not required. Construction of this park is dependent on available funding.

The Site Development Permit for the Police Garage project requires the City to fund and build a permanent building at the Police Garage and fund the road improvements on the south side of Federal Avenue. While this project is on the needs list, funding has not been identified.

QUESTION: Please provide a breakdown of the completed \$10.0 million in ADA projects and a list of the proposals for the next \$10.0 million in ADA projects.

RESPONSE: Referral Information is still being retrieved and will be provided in a subsequent memorandum.

QUESTION: Please discuss whether there is a net savings as a result of the Tourism Marketing District (TMD).

RESPONSE: The TMD is bringing in new revenue from the two cent fee charged on hotels as per the agreement. The TMD absorbs expenses of approximately \$10.6 million for the support of the San Diego Convention and Visitors Bureau and other organizations that promote the City.

ENGINEERING & CAPITAL PROJECTS DEPARTMENT: TRANSNET

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

QUESTION: Please describe how SANDAG's and the City of San Diego's timelines are coordinated in terms of obtaining projects and funding.

RESPONSE: SANDAG issues a quarterly calendar for amendments to the TransNet funded projects listed in the Regional Transportation Improvement Projects (RTIP). The City works with those deadlines to amend or update the RTIP and provide Council Resolutions by dates determined by SANDAG. For FY08, the schedule was:

Page 4

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE CONT'D: 2006 RTIP (Formal)

Amendment Schedule

updated 12/31/07

Items	Responsible Agency	Due Dates for Feb. 15, 2008 TC Meeting	Due Dates for May 16, 2008 TC Meeting
Draft list of projects due to SANDAG via ProjectTrak	All agencies with projects to amend	12/19/07	04/02/08
Review/revise/finalize submitted projects	Agencies and SANDAG	12/19 to12/21/07	4/2 to 4/9/08
Issue notice for 15-day public comment	SANDAG	01/09/08	04/10/08
Public comment period ends/signed Council/Board resolutions with list of final projects to SANDAG*	All agencies with projects to amend/members of the public	01/25/08	04/25/08

^{*}SANDAG must have the signed resolutions with list of projects that were approved by Council/Board

The City will need to provide its Council Resolution to SANDAG by June 30, 2008. SANDAG requires the City to adopt its plan on a bi-annual basis. The City's plan will be presented to Council by early June, 2008, for adoption.

SPECIAL PROMOTIONAL PROGRAMS

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

QUESTION: Please comment on whether or not a guaranteed means of appeal can be created as a part of the application process for TOT funds.

RESPONSE: Council Policy No. 000-16 reaffirms that the provisions of the Brown Act are to be followed by the various City boards, commissions, and committees. In accordance with Brown Act section 54952.2, a quorum must be present in order to conduct business, to vote on projects. and to take actions at regular meetings. If no quorum exists however, an appeal may go to City Council. Even if a Board/Commission does have a quorum and does make a recommendation on an appeal of a TOT award, City Council may still either adopt the appeal as is, or make its own changes.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 8

QUESTION: Please provide details on those applicants that have applied for TOT money and those to whom money was awarded.

Page 5

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE: Funding for the Proposed Creative Communities San Diego Program (CCSD) and the Proposed Organizational Support Program (OSP) have not historically been published in the Proposed Budget document, but are usually sent to the Mayoral and Council District Offices. Please refer to Attachments 1 and 2 for the lists of organizations recommended for funding, as well as the funding methodology used in the decision-making process.

AIRPORTS

COUNCIL DISTRICT 7

QUESTION: Please comment on the new administrative fee being charged by Airports Division to pilots who would like to be on the waiting list for an aircraft tiedown space. Please specifically address whether or not these fees are due to a cost-recovery initiative or whether this is a means of deterring pilots from adding their names to the list. If these fees are part of a cost-recovery initiative, please discuss why the cost of administering the wait list can not be met from the rents received from tenants with current aircraft tiedowns.

RESPONSE: The creation of two new Aircraft Tiedown Waiting Lists is part of a general overhaul of the administration of two aircraft parking areas at Montgomery Field. This measure was not meant to keep anyone off of the list as the fee is minimal (a one-time fee of \$250 with a \$25 annual fee), and may be considered a cost-recovery initiative in some cases. However, if someone stays on a list for any length of time, this initiative will probably not be cost-recoverable. The cost of the list cannot be offset with existing tiedown rents; currently the annual revenue from tiedowns is about \$182K, an amount that covers the cost of repairing about 500 ft. of Montgomery Field's three miles of taxiways.

GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

QUESTION: Please provide a list of all FTEs that have been transferred in the Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget from one department to another.

RESPONSE: Please refer to Attachment 3 for the list of interdepartmental FTE transfers in the Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget.

STORM WATER DEPARTMENT

COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

QUESTION: Please provide the cost of a mini-sweeper.

Page 6

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE: The cost to procure a mini-sweeper is approximately \$105K. This cost does not include support costs to maintain the mini-sweeper.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

QUESTION: Please comment on how many street sweepers are currently owned by the Department.

RESPONSE: Currently the City has 17 street sweepers. An additional eight street sweepers to support the Citywide Street Sweeping Program have been ordered and should arrive by August 2008. An additional two street sweepers to support the Storm Water Pilot Street Sweeping Program have been ordered and should arrive by June 2008.

WATER DEPARTMENT

Independent Budget Analyst

QUESTION: Please explain why FTE was cut from an Enterprise Fund and whether or not this cut should be discussed in the context of Water's BPR.

RESPONSE: The Water Department made an assessment of what positions could be cut with no direct impact to services. These cuts were mainly accomplished through realignment of work assignments and were made with consideration towards safety and response times.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

QUESTION: Please detail where all of the engineers that have been transferred out of this department are now physically located.

RESPONSE: Most of the staff remain located at 600 B street on the 5th and 7th floors. A few staff have been transferred to Field Division to manage projects as they move through construction.

QUESTION: Please detail the cost for the Independent Rate Oversight Committee that is in the rate case.

RESPONSE: Water's costs include:

Personnel expenses paid to Wastewater for managing the function:

Fiscal Year 2008: Not to exceed \$89,458, although projections are trending slightly lower

Fiscal Year 2009: Not to exceed \$101,782

Page 7

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE CONT'D:

Audits (Non-Personnel):

Fiscal Audit: \$25,000 estimated to be expended Fiscal Year 2009 to evaluate Fiscal Year

2008

Performance Audit: \$25,000 estimated to be expended in Fiscal Year 2009 to evaluate

Fiscal Year 2008

CITY PLANNING and COMMUNITY INVESTMENT DEPARTMENT

COUNCIL DISTRICT 2

QUESTION: Please explain the process of how Mission Bay Park projects are chosen to be funded, including whether or not these decisions are done in consultation with the Park and Recreation Board or the Mission Bay Park Committee. Please also comment on how these projects are prioritized.

RESPONSE: For the Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed CIP Budget, Park & Recreation staff worked with the Deputy Directors of the operating divisions for Mission Bay Park and all areas with eligible regional parks to identify projects. The cost estimates were either already in existence for projects (in which case, inflation adjustments were made) or cost estimates were provided by Park & Recreation Asset Management or Engineering & Capital Projects staff. The project list was reviewed and subsequently condensed to meet the available funding. In the course of this review, the following criteria were considered for prioritization: input from Deputy Directors, projects with partial funding, deferred maintenance issues, safety concerns, ADA, urgency/legal requirements, unfunded needs lists, and community input.

Due to the restructuring of the former Park & Recreation Department, Park Planning and Development Division in Fiscal Year 2010, the park project solicitation and prioritization process will be revised and placed under the direction of the City Planning and Community Investment (CPCI) Department, Park Planning Section.

The list of Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed CIP projects were not presented to the Park & Recreation Board or the Mission Bay Park Committee. All proposed Mission Bay Park projects are upgrades to existing facilities.

Staff was directed in January 2008 to bring any future Mission Bay Park project allocation requests to the Natural Resources and Culture Committee (NR&C) prior to going to City Council. Due to the timing of funding availability, notification, and the proposed budget process timeline, staff was not able to present Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed CIP Mission Bay Park project information to the NR&C.

QUESTION: Please provide a list of projects that were slated to be completed in Fiscal Year 2008, and detail whether or not all the funds were expended on these projects or whether or not all of the projects received funding.

Page 8

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE: Referral Information is still being retrieved and will be provided in a subsequent memorandum.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 3

QUESTION: Please discuss what will be completed on the pergola.

RESPONSE: The following is an update on the "Myrtle Way Pergola" project.

Phase 1 – A feasibility study and recommendations (completed)

Libby Engineers provided an analysis of the lumber salvaged from the toppled pergola, conducted an analysis of the existing foundation wall, and presented the results to the Balboa Park Committee. The committee decided to completely replace the pergola with all new wood and replace the foundation wall.

Phase 2 – Construction documents (pending)

Construction documents need to be prepared for the replacement of the pergola and foundation wall. A scope and fee has been negotiated with Libby Engineers, however the project manager needs to review the scope to assure satisfaction with the level of service being provided. The existing contract with Libby Engineers will need to be amended to include the expanded scope of work. Funding remaining in the CIP is projected to be adequate.

Phase 3 – Bidding, award and construction (pending completion of construction documents).

COUNCIL DISTRICT 4

QUESTION: Please comment on: if the Southeastern Economic Development Corporation (SEDC) is able to complete its Master Planning work by July and whether it will be possible to move up the timeline for the Southeastern Community Plan Update.

RESPONSE: CPCI is currently in the process of identifying potential funding and staff resources to commence an update of both the Southeastern San Diego Community Plan and the Skyline-Paradise Hills Community Plan. If the funding and staff resources are identified, the department would be willing to accelerate the process.

COUNCIL DISTRICT 6

QUESTION: Please provide a list of the Mission Bay Park projects on which money was spent in Fiscal Year 2007. Please also detail whether or not the lifeguard dock (CIP 33-5080 "Headquarters Safety and Boating Dock") received funding.

Page 9

May 13, 2008

RESPONSE: Referral Information is still being retrieved and will be provided in a subsequent memorandum.

QUESTION: Please confirm whether or not the \$341K in the Annual Allocation for Mission Bay Improvements is unallocated money.

RESPONSE: Yes, the "Annual Allocation" CIP is the placeholder for the revenue prior to its being appropriated for projects.

Nader Tirandazi

NT/cg

Attachments:

- 1. Proposed Creative Communities San Diego Program (CCSD) Funding Spreadsheet
- 2. Proposed Organizational Support Program (OSP) Funding Spreadsheet
- 3. List of Transferred FTE in Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget

cc: Honorable Mayor Jerry Sanders

Honorable Council Members

Jay M. Goldstone, Chief Operating Officer

Kris Michell, Community & Legislative Services Deputy Chief Operating Officer

Mary Lewis, Chief Financial Officer

Andrea Tevlin, Independent Budget Analyst

Elmer Heap, Community Services Deputy Chief Operating Officer

David Jarrell, Public Works Deputy Chief Operating Officer

William Anderson, Community Planning & Development Deputy Chief Operating
Officer

Jim Barrett, Public Utilities Deputy Chief Operating Officer

Patti Boekamp, Engineering & Capital Projects Department Director

Mario Sierra, General Services Department Director

Mike Tussey, Airports Deputy Director

Jaymie Bradford, Director of Council Affairs

Attachment 1



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

April 14, 2008

TO:

Creative Communities San Diego Program Applicants

FROM: ♥

Hamilton, Executive Director

SUBJECT:

Proposed Creative Communities San Diego Program (CCSD) Funding Spreadsheet

Please find enclosed the Recommended Allocations Spreadsheet for the City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture's (Commission) FY 2009 Creative Communities San Diego Program (CCSD). The Commission's Funding Committee proposes the funding recommendations in the "Recommended Funding" column of the spreadsheet based on Mayor Jerry Sanders proposed level funding to the Commission for FY09.

There are six primary issues to take into consideration when reviewing your organization's recommended funding information:

- 1) Changes in your organization's Total Project Expense;
- 2) Changes in your organization's rank;
- 3) Organizations receiving a rank lower than "3" are not recommended for funding;
- 4) Correctly calculating the request amount using the appropriate 3:1 or 2:1 match requirement;
- 5) The maximum allowable request is 10% of the available funds or \$42,511; and
- 6) Organizations not reviewed by a panel but referred to the Funding Committee are indicated by the notation "FC" in the "2009 Rank" column.

This year, we found that several applicants did not calculate their request correctly. In such cases, Commission staff recalculated and adjusted the request before applying funding caps and formulas.

The Commissioners will finalize these recommendations at their regularly scheduled monthly meeting on Friday, April 18, 2008 at 8:30 a.m. at the Mingei International Museum. The Mingei is located at 1439 El Prado in Balboa Park. The Commission will then officially submit its recommendation to the Mayor and City Council. A City Council budget hearing is scheduled for Thursday, May 1, 2008 sometime between 9 a.m. and Noon (although it could occur into the afternoon), 12th floor, City Administration Building.

For more information, please call Gary Margolis at (619) 236-6788 or email him at gmargolis@sandiego.gov.

Thank you for your valuable contributions toward making our community vibrant, attracting visitors and offering an extraordinary return on the City's investment.

Victoria L. Hamilton

Enclosures



CITY OF SAN DIEGO COMMISSION FOR ARTS AND CULTURE

FISCAL YEAR 2009 CREATIVE COMMUNITIES SAN DIEGO FUNDING METHODOLOGY AND FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

METHODOLOGY

- 1) Use the review criteria as stated in the FY08 Creative Communities San Diego (CCSD) Application and Guidelines for evaluating funding proposals and applicant organizations.
- 2) Apply the following rank factors to all funding levels:

4: 100%

4-: 94%

3+: 88%

3: 82%

- 3) In FY09 applicants with ranks lower than "3" are not recommended for funding.
- 4) Organizations applying for FY09 funding must have submitted acceptable final report packages and be in compliance with all terms and conditions set forth in previous contracts for services with the Commission.
- 5) Calculate allocations by multiplying amount requested by the rank factor, then adjust all allocations to the amount of available funds (\$425,115).
- 6) No organization will receive an allocation of less than \$1,500.
- 7) No organization will be funded over its requested amount.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1) How do I know what the Recommended Funding is for a particular organization?

- Organizations are listed first by Rank in descending order and second by Amount Requested in descending order.
- FY09 Recommended Funding is listed in the "Recommended Funding" column at the far right of the page.

2) Why does an organization's funding change from one year to the next?

There are several factors to consider:

- A change in the total funds available
- A change in the number of applicants
- A change in an organization's Project Expenses (which affects the Amount Requested)
- A change in an organization's Rank
- Changes in the Amount Requested and/or Rank of other organizations

3) How is the Recommended Funding determined?

The amount requested is multiplied by the Rank Factor, then all allocations are adjusted to the amount of available funds (\$425,115).

City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture FY09 Creative Communities San Diego (CCSD) Funding Recommendations

TOTAL NUMBER OF ORGANIZATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING: 46

12	And the second s			3		70	Property of the control of the contr	9	A CONTROL OF THE CONT		8		7	And the second s		o)		5	A		3	2				Number	Reference
2				v		2	•	6			2		2			2		3	2		2	ර		ယ		District	Council
Center	San Diego Chinese			Roundaries	Justice Overcoming	Foundation	San Diego Film	Institute	Council to the Burn	Fire Fighters Advisory	Foundation	San Diego City College	Street Association	Ocean Beach Main		Discover Pacific Beach		San Diego EarthWorks	Community Foundation	Historic Old Town	Little Italy Association	Foundation	San Diego Asian Film	Business Association	Adams Avenue	Organization	
Culture Faire	New Year Food and	Center Year of the Ox	Can Diogo Chinoso	Diego	Fiesta del Sol San	Festival	San Diego Film	Burn Run/Fire Expo			International Book Fair	San Diego City College Third Annual	Chili Cook-Off Festival	Beach Street Fair &	30th Annual Ocean	2008	Pacific BeachFest	EarthFair 2009	de Mayo	Old Town Fiesta Cinco	Little Italy Festa	Festival	9th Annual Asian Film	Fair	Adams Avenue Street	FY09 Name of Project	
3.67			0.00	ယ		3.67		3.67			3.67		4			4		4	4		4	3.67		4		Rank	FY08
\$			Т	()		\$		↔	-		(S		မှ			()		↔	₩.		s	₩		€		FY08	
6,506				7.376		13,395		19,513			4,590		10,887			16,986		16,393	28,015		16,475	12,670		28,015		FY08 Funding	
s			1	es		₩		↔			↔		ક્ક			↔		ક્ક	€ 9		æ	s		S		Req	FY09
16,500			+ 17 + 1 =	34.675		42,511		42,511			15,000		20,475			26,250		27,808	31,000		34,800	35,000		42,511		Requested	Y09 Amount
3.67				3.67		3.67		3.67			4		4			4		4	4		4	4		4		Rank	FY09
\$			_	\$		\$		\$	•		\$		\$			₩		\$				\$		₩			Reo
7,742				16.269		19,946		19,946			7,487		10,220		-	13,102		13,880	15,473		17,370	17,470		21,219		Funding	Recommended

26	25	24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16		14	13	Reference Number
2	2	တ	4&8	7	7		Ō	ယ	8	2	ω	٠	4	Council District
San Diego Armed Services YMCA	Gaslamp Quarter Association	America's Finest City Dixieland Jazz Society	Access	Rolando Community Council	Kiwanis Club of Tierrasanta	Rancho de los Penasquitos Town Council	Linda V Linda Vista Multi- Cultura Cultural Fair and Parade Parade	San Diego Women Film Foundation	Los Bilingual Writers w fiscal agent	Point Loma Association	North Park Organization of Businesses	Torrey Pines Kiwanis Club	Kalusugan Community Services	Organization
8th Annual Big Bay July 4th Fireworks Show	Gaslamp Quarter Fine Arts Festival	San Diego Thanksgiving Dixieland Jazz Festival	Semana Cultural Michoacana 2008	Rolando Street Fair	Tierrasanta Oktoberfest	Fiesta de los Penasquitos	Linda Vista Multi- Cultural Fair and Parade	San Diego Women Film Festival	Bilingual Literary Project	Point Loma Concert Series	2009 North Park Festival of the Arts	La Jolla Festival of the Arts	Filipino American Arts and Culture Festival	FY09 Name of Project
3.67	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	4		3.67	3.67	4	3.67	3.67	FY08 Rank
↔	₩	₩	€9	↔	ω	↔	ω		69	↔	€	co	ь	708 militari
19,513	14,480	15,389	1,004	2,013	1,869	4,361	6,261		4,590	6,277	9,226	10,098	4,992	FY08 Funding
\$	₩	69	÷Α	€9	↔	€	↔	છ	¢9	cs	v)	€	64)	FY09 Rec
42,511	42,511	42,511	3,500	3,587	3,603	9,200	9,500	10,000	10,000	13,738	14,000	15,000	15,750	FY09 Amount Requested
3.67 \$	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	FY09 Rank
\$	₩	₩	\$	\$	\$	€	€9	\$	€9	€9	€	6	€	The state of the s
19,946	18,673	18,673	1,642	1,683	1,690	4,317	4,457	4,692	4,692	6,446	6,569	7,038	7,390	Adjusted Funding

3 6	35	34		32	31		30	29	28	27	Reference Number
3&7	2	4&8	2	ယ	ω		7	ယ	ω	4	Council District
College Area Economic Development Corporation	Cabrillo Festival	*Central Commercial District Revitalization Corporation / Fiscal Agent for San Diego Afterschool Strings Program at LHS and GCMS	Centre City Development Corporation	Asian Story Theater	African Drum and Dance Company	*Children Having Children / Fiscal Agent for Teye Sa Thiosanne	*SDSU Research Foundation / Fiscal Agent for Border Voices	Pacific Southwest Wildlife Arts	Hillcrest Business Improvement Association	Jacobs Center for Neighborhood Innovation	Organization
The Boulevard BOO! Parade & Carnival	45th Annual Cabrillo Festival	Afterschool Strings Program	10th Annual San Diego Multicultural Festival	Ring of Fire	New World Africans III		15th Annual Border Voices Poetry Fair	California Open Wildlife Art Festival	City Fest 2006	Market Creek Plaza Amphitheater 2008 Summer Entertainment Series	FY09 Name of Project
3.33	3.33		3.33	3.33			3.33	3.33	3.33	4	FY08 Rank
€	()	↔	\$	\$			\$	\$	€9	\$	80/F
3,318	3,303	3,439	3,620	4,344			5,430	7,168	7,826	16,211	FY08 Funding
(S	\$	↔	↔	\$	↔	* '	€9	.	↔	↔	FY09 Rec
9,000	9,000	9,713	10,000	10,000	10,000		15,000	19,900	24,300	30,000	Y09 Amount Requested
3.33	3.33	ప. 33	3.33	3.33			3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	FY09 Rank
₩ .	\$	↔	⇔	\$			₩	↔	↔	₩	πÞ
3,953	3,953	4,266	4,392	4,392	4,392	·	6,589	8,741	10,674	13,177	Adjusted Funding

		425,115	4				Total Available		
\$ 425,115	1.5	[\$				Total Requests	-	
€9	2.33	9,900	49			Ties with Music	Ensemble	2&3	48
						Broad Community	In aChord Men's		Section 1 (1997) And the section of
						Ensemble: Building			
θ'	7.07	10,000	U			Arts restival	Network	G	4/
						San Diego Healing	Alternative Healing	>	
\$ 2,560	ω	6,255	69			Library	Compassion ART	7	46
						College/Rolando	picART, Partners in		
						Round 2 at			
-						Creative Arts Series,			
						Summer 2008	Company of the Compan		
\$ 2,865	ა \$	7,000	4,085 \$	\$ 4,	3.67	Immigration	and Learning Center	2	45
•						Teenagers and	Immigration Museum		
						Becoming American:	New Americans		
\$ 3,238	ω \$	7,910	49			Storytelling Festival	Diego	. 2	44
						Talk datTalk II	Black Storytellers of San		
\$ 3,888	<u>з</u>	9,500	4,684 \$	\$ 4,	3.33	Art 2008	Community Services	3	43
						Celebrate Community	San Diego Youth &		
\$ 6,104	<u>ა</u>	14,913	,901 \$	\$ 3,	3.33	Theatre Company	Center	7	42
						Kroc Kids Children's	Salvation Army Kroc		A Company of the Comp
\$ 9,004	<u>3</u>	22,000	,109 \$	\$ 15,	3.67	Cultural Festival	Fund	4	4
						Encanto Street Fair &	Encanto Community		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
\$ 21,219	4	42,511	24,745 \$	\$ 24,	4	Gator by the Bay	of San Diego	2	4
1							Bon Temps Social Club		
\$ 17,399	FC \$	42,511	50,364 \$	\$ 50,		Diverse Communities	Arts League	2	39
						Diego Region's	San Diego Performing		
						Patronage in the San			
						Increasing Cultural			The second secon
\$ 2,723	3.33 \$	6,200	4,859 \$	\$ 4,	3.33	RB Alive! Expo	Chamber of Commerce	ΟΊ	38
							San Diego North		
\$ 3,553	3.33 \$	8,088	3,620 \$	\$ 3,	ω ω ω		Society	ω	37
						San Diego	San Diego Shakesneare		
Funding	Rank	Requested	ing	FY08 Funding	Rank	FY09 Name of Project	Organization	District	Number
Adjusted	FY09	FY09 Amount			FY08			Council	Reference
	And the second s		The state of the s						

Attachment 2



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

MEMORANDUM

DATE:

April 14, 2008

TO:

FY09 Organizational Support Program Applicants

FROM:

Victoria LAHamilton, Executive Director

SUBJECT:

Proposed Organizational Support Program (OSP) Funding Spreadsheet

Please find enclosed the Recommended Allocations Spreadsheet for the City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture's (Commission) FY 2009 Organizational Support Program (OSP). The Commission's Funding Committee proposes the funding recommendations in the "2009 Recommended Funding" column of the spreadsheet based on Mayor Jerry Sanders proposed level funding to the Commission for FY09.

There are four primary issues to take into consideration when reviewing your organization's recommended funding information:

- 1) Changes in your organization's Annual Operating Income;
- 2) Changes in your organization's rank;
- 3) Organizations receiving a rank lower than "3" are not recommended for funding; and
- 4) Organizations not reviewed by a panel but referred to the Funding Committee are indicated by the notation "FC" in the "2009 Rank" column.

The Commissioners will finalize these recommendations at their regularly scheduled monthly meeting on Friday, <u>April 18, 2008</u> at 8:30 a.m. at the Mingei International Museum. The Mingei is located at 1439 El Prado in Balboa Park. The Commission will then officially submit its recommendation to the Mayor and City Council. A City Council budget hearing is scheduled for Thursday, <u>May 1, 2008</u> sometime between 9 a.m. and Noon (although it could occur into the afternoon), 12th floor, City Administration Building.

For more information, please call Gary Margolis at (619) 236-6788 or email him at gmargolis@sandiego.gov .

Thank you for your valuable contributions toward making our community vibrant, attracting visitors and offering an extraordinary return on the City's investment.

Victoria L. Hamilton

Enclosures



CITY OF SAN DIEGO COMMISSION FOR ARTS AND CULTURE

FISCAL YEAR 2009 ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAM FUNDING METHODOLOGY AND FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

METHODOLOGY

- 1) Use the review criteria as stated in the FY08 Organizational Support Program (OSP) Application and Guidelines for evaluating funding proposals and applicant organizations:
- 2) Apply the following rank factors to all funding levels

4: 100%

4-: 94%

3+: 88%

3: 82%

- 3) In FY09 applicants with ranks lower than "3" are not recommended for funding.
- 4) An organization in its second year of funding will receive 70% of its calculated allocation.
- 5) Organizations applying for FY09 funding must have submitted acceptable final report packages and be in compliance with all terms and conditions set forth in previous contracts for services with the Commission.
- 6) Calculate allocations according to the two curves and rank factors, then adjust all allocations to the amount of available funds (\$6,449,180).
- 7) No organization will receive an allocation of less than \$1,500.
- 8) No organization will be funded over its requested amount.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1) How do I know what the Recommended Funding is for a particular organization?

- Organizations are listed first by Level (I, II or III) and second in descending order by FY2007 AOI.
- FY09 Recommended Funding is listed in the "2009 Recommended Funding" column at the far right of the page.

2) Why does an organization's funding change from one year to the next?

There are several factors to consider:

- A change in the total funds available
- A change in the number of applicants
- A change in an organization's AOI
- A change in an organization's Rank
- Changes in the AOI and/or Rank of other organizations

3) How is the Recommended Funding determined?

The Recommended Funding is derived from a formula that incorporates curve parameters, the organization's rank and the total available funds.

4) What does the CAC Curve and Museum Curve have to do with funding?

One of the premises of the OSP allocation process is that organizations receive funding relative to the size of their budget (Annual Operating Income). The curve ensures that organizations are funded equally with respect to their budget size. As an organization's budget grows, the overall percentage of its funding decreases. Thus, an organization with an AOI of \$25,000, may request a maximum allocation equal to 25% of its AOI and an organization with a \$1,000,000 budget may request a maximum allocation of 13.5% of its AOI.

Only museums that are accredited by the American Association of Museums and have an AOI between \$1,500,000 and \$6,500,000 may use the Museum Curve. All other organizations use the Standard Curve.

%9

100% 94% 88% 82% 76% 70%

-7.7% FY06 -17.1% FY05 -22.0% FY04 -32.7% FY03

0.6854

0.8108 9096'0 0.9808

-34.2% FY02 -35.5% FY01

	Funds	Curve Parameters		Rank Factors		
	Available \$6,449,180	Standard		differential	L	%9
		initial AOI	25,000		J	
	Difference \$0	initial % rate	722%		4.00	100%
		AOI growth rate	. 20%		3.67	94%
Adjustments from Computed Allocation		decrement of %	0.58%		3.33	88%
to Recommended Funding		Museum Curve			3.00	82%
cap to available funds	10%	initial % rate	14%		2.67	492
max allocation %	52%	decrement per \$1M	1%	min rank	2.33	70%
max new & returning	20%					
min continuing	20%	Both Curves				
max decrease	10%	adjust to available funds	0,632326750			
max increase coming on the Curve	20%			0.6323	0.0% FY08	-Y08
				0.6686	-5.4% FY07	70Y=

		Annual			Requested			Annual			3.4	
	Summary by Level	Operating	FY2008	%	Funding	Rank	Rank		Operating Computed	Recommended		
	e E	Income 06	Funding	Ą	2009	2009	Factr	-	Allocation	Funding	% AOI % Funds	% Funds
14	Level	107,179,343	26	3.9%	7,765,559	3.79	%96	119,714,721	4,212,977	4,169,424	3.5%	%59
26	Level II	20,326,704	1,627,572	8.0%	3,232,718	3.39	%68	24,213,609	1,769,007	1,683,538	7.0%	26%
39	Level III	5,761,981	574,773 10.0%	10.0%	1,101,306	3.43	%06	5,884,142	632,692	596,218	10.1%	%6
)							
79	All Levels	133,268,028	6,345,972	4.8%	345,972 4.8% 12,099,583 3.53	3.53	%76	149,812,472	6,614,675	6,449,180	4.3%	100:0%

Total Recommended Funding

76 Organizations Recommended for Funding

6,449,180

DRAFT

			Equiv	Annual			ATTONION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH	2009		Equiv	Annual	2009	
Levell		2008	Rank	Operating	2008	%	ď.	equested	2009	Rank	Operating	Operating Recommended	
	Organization	Rank	Factr	Income 06 Funding	Funding	AO	ALL VALUE OF THE PROPERTY OF T	Funding	Rank	Factr	Income 07	Funding	% AOI
<u> </u>	Old Globe Theatre	4.00	1.00	16,300,033 469,351	469,351	2.9%		990,950	4.00	1.00	1.00 18,017,279	463,355	2.6%
	0 00	00	4 00	4 00 45 454 800	1 GOO 170	200 Per 1980 Per	18500333	232	7 00	1 00	1 00 1 16 260 587	151 733	700 6

-evel		2002	Kank	Operating	0007	\$	neigenhau	6007	אווא	Operating	Decommended	
	Organization	Rank	Factr	Income 06	Funding	AOI	Funding	Rank	Factr	Income 07	Funding	% AOI
	1 Old Globe Theatre	4.00	1.00	16,300,033	469,351	2.9%	990,950	4.00	1.00	18,017,279	463,355	2.6%
2	2 SD Opera	4.00	1.00	15,451,688	462,172	3.0%	894,332	4.00	1.00	16,260,587	451,733	2.8%
3	3 SD Symphony	4.00	1.00	15,085,289	458,774	3.0%	863,667	4.00	1.00	15,703,042	447,265	2.8%
4	4 La Jolia Playhouse	4.00	1.00	9,901,000	388,187	3.9%	088'988	3.33	0.88	15,215,992	389,871	2.6%
5	5 SD Natural History Mus	4.00	1.00	10,626,203	400,932	3.8%	637,572	4.00	1.00	12,222,322	409,734	3.4%
9	6 SD Museum of Art	4.00	1.00	9,490,336	380,483	4.0%	592,455	4.00	1.00	9,114,686	359,345	3.9%
7	7 Museum of Contempor	4.00	1.00	5,996,775	315,102	5.3%	505,441	4.00	1.00	7,220,581	318,506	4.4%
8	8 SD Space and Science	3.67	0.94	6,661,503	297,335	4.5%	534,235	3:67	0.94	7,123,140	297,185	4.2%
6	9 Mingei International Mu	3.67	0.94	2,634,260	184,779	7.0%	331,174	3.67	0.94	3,028,846	175,343	5.8%
10	10 Maritime Museum of Sa	4.00	1.00	4,474,802	249,667	2.6%	361,550	4.00	1.00	4,017,219	224,584	5.6%
1	11 La Jolla Music Society	3.67	0.94	3,343,776	194,496	5.8%	330,680	4.00	1.00	3,480,844	204,633	2.9%
12	12 SD Repertory Theatre	3.67	0.94	2,901,684	176,860	6.1%	308,064	3.33	0.88	3,080,641	166,033	5.4%
13	13 SD Air & Space Museu	2.67	0.76	2,606,921	0	%0.0	287,500	3.00	0.82	2,804,047	101,621	3.6%
14	14 SD Museum of Man	4.00	1.00	1,705,073	165,488	9.7%	291,059	4.00	1.00	2,425,495	160,216	6.6%
14	14 Totals for Level I	3.81	0.97	0.97 107,179,343 4,143,626	4,143,626	3.9%	7,765,559	3.79	96:0	0.96 119,714,721	4,169,424	3.5%

			Equiv	Annual		Philipping	2009		Equiv	Annual	2009	
Level II		2008	Rank	Operating	2008	%	Requested	2009	Rank	Operating	Recommended	
	Organization	Rank	Factr	Income 06	Funding	AOI	Funding	Rank	Factr	Income 07	Funding	% AOI
										-		
_	Museum of Photograph	3.33	0.88	1,661,309	124,600	7.5%	223,475	3.33	0.88	1,943,263	120,584	6.2%
2	2 SD Historical Society	3.00	0.82	1,445,291	689,76	6.8%	236,326	FC	NA	1,890,898	110,186	5.8%
3	3 Lyric Opera San Diego	3.33	0.88	1,114,257	83,181	7.5%	204,478	3.33	0.88	1,784,212	113,411	6.4%
4	4 SD Center for Jewish C	3.67	0.94	1,375,155	103,977	7.6%	201,352	3.67	0.94	1,677,934	115,876	6.9%
5	5 SD Junior Theatre	4.00	1.00	1,326,995	107,728	8.1%	175,159	3.67	0.94	1,401,270	101,544	7.2%
9	6 SD Civic Light Opera						172,113	2.67	0.76	1,376,901	0	0.0%
7	7 California Ballet	3.33	0.88	1,258,683	91,143	7.2%	172,012	3.33	0.88		161,56	6.8%
8	8 Athenaeum Music & Ar	4.00	1.00	1,307,961	106,578	8.1%	162,634	3.67	. 0.94	1,301,071	96,108	7.4%
6	9 Mainly Mozart	3.67	0.94	1,559,776	114,079	7.3%	161,080	3.67	0.94	1,288,595	95,422	7.4%
10	10 SD Chamber Orchestra	3.33	0.88	1,195,336	87,691	7.3%	137,651	3.00	0.82	1,019,934		6.8%
1,1	11 SD Youth Symphony	3.67	0.94	660,560	59,455	%0.6	114,918	3.67	0.94	792,538		8.3%
12	12 Save Our Heritage Org	3.33	0.88	794,030	64,221	8.1%	111,318	3.33	0.88		60,259	7.8%
13	13 Cygnet Theater	3.67	0.94	524,422	34,704	6.6%	28,377	3.67	0.94	691,960	54,949	7.9%
14	14 Japanese Friendship G	3.33	0.88	619,856	52,955	8.5%	99,551	3.33	0.88	686,563	55,247	8.0%
15	15 Gaslamp Quarter Histo	3.33	0.88	502,520	44,869	8.9%	100,711	3.33	0.88	671,404	54,293	8.1%
16	16 Diversionary Theatre	3.67	0.94	476,652	45,955	9.6%	100,282	3.67	0.94	668,548	57,802	8.6%
17.	City Ballet	3.33	0.88	643,269	54,517	8.5%	94,913	3.33	0.88		51,832	8.2%
. 18	18 Malashock Dance and	3.00	0.82	338,926	30,485	8.0%	94,676	3.00	0,82	631,177	48,200	7.6%
19	19 Media Arts Center	3.67	0.94	565,692	52,638	9.3%	89,573	3.67	0.94	597,157	52,905	8.9%
20	20 SD Model Railroad Mus	3.33	0.88	888,679	70,036	7.9%	84,055	3.00	0.82		45,827	7.7%
. 21	21 SD Art Institute	3,33	0.88	514,792	45,736	8.9%	86,156	3.33	0.88	538,476	45,647	8.5%
22	22 Young Audiences of Sa	3.67	0.94	262,265	28,362	10.8%	[71,430]	3.67	0.94	446,436	42,007	9.4%
23	23 Playwrights Project	3.67	0.94	296,418	31,343	10.6%	296,867	3.67	0.94	408,040	39,088	9.6%
24	Eveoke Dance Theatre	3.33	0.88		39,958	9.2%	62,402	3.00	0.82	367,072	31,315	8.5%
25	25 La Jolla Symphony & C	3.00	0.82		27,826	9.2%	54,605	3.00	0.82	360,710		8.6%
26	26 Classics for Kids	3.67	0.94	256,468	27,848	10.9%	54,104	3.67	0.94	301,057	30,571	10.2%
							Ephalina.					
26	26 Totals for Level II	3.47	06.0	20,326,704	1,627,572	8.0%	3,232,718	3.39	0.89	24,213,609	1,683,538	7.0%

			Equiv	Annual	0000	8	20	2009	000	Equiv	Annual	2009	
Level III	Organization	Rank	Factr	Operating Income 06	Funding	 Q V	Fu		Rank	Factr	Income 07	Funding	% AOI
						2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1							
1 SD	3D Archaelogical Cente	3.33	0.88			9.8%	5	52,558	4:00	1.00	291,992	31,722	10.9%
2 5	3D Ballet	3.33	0.88	318,		9.8%	5	52,468	3.67	0.94	291,490	29,777	10.2%
3 A	3 AjA Project	3.33	0.88		13,637	7.6%	5	51,578	3.33	0.88	286,545	26,030	9.1%
4 P	Persian Cultural Center						2	51,121	3.67	0.94	284,006	29,152	10.3%
5	5 Patricia Rincon Dance	3.33	0.88			9.7%	S.	50,482	3.33	0.88	280,456	27,013	9.6%
6	Jean Isaac's SD Dance	3.67	0.94	274,240	29,417	10.7%	4	45,583	3.67	0.94	253,238	26,543	10.5%
7 5	7 Prophet World Beat Ce	3.00	0.82			89.6	4	5,456	3.67	0.94	252,531		10.5%
8 8	SD Children's Choir	3.00	0.82			89.6	2	5,000	4.00	1.00	250,220		10.0%
76	9 Veterans Museum	3.00	0.82			9.3%	4	3,744	3.67	0.94	244,021		10.6%
10	10 Spreckels Organ Socie	3.67	0.94			10.7%	4	41,771			232,062		10.6%
11 C	11 Culture Shock Dance T	3.33	0.88			9.4%	3	38,943 FC		NA A	204,961		%0.0
12 8	Sushi	3.00	0.82			%9:6	3	38,000	3.33	0.88	200,216	20,479	10.2%
13 8	San Diego Water Color		0.82			4.9%	3	36,507	3:00	0.82	192,142		6.7%
14 5	3D Civic Youth Ballet		0.82			10.1%	3	35,033	3:00	0.82	184,385	,	9.7%
15 F	15 Fern Street Community	3.33	0.88			10.0%	3	2,320	3.33	0.88	170,105		10.5%
16/0	entro Cultural de la R		0.82			9.4%	3	31,261	3.00	0.82	156,306	15,536	9.9%
171	17 Mo'olelo Performing Arts						2	29,189	4.00	1.00	145,945	17,892	12.3%
18 5	an Diego Theatre Sce		0.82	145,		5.2%	2	28,750	3.00	0.82	144,800	10,201	7.0%
19.8	3D Chinese Historical		0.94	143,		12.0%	2	8,861	3.67	0.94		16,660	11.5%
20 8	20 SD Young Artists Musid	3.67	0.94			12.4%	2	5,032	3.67	0.94		15,437	11.7%
21 V	21 Westwind Brass		0.88	266,	26,889	10.1%	2	6,213	3.33	0.88		14,389	11.0%
22 (22 Center for World Music					or against a second and a secon	2	25,864	3.33	0.88			11.0%
23 (23 Gay Men's Chorus	3.33	0.88	`		11.7%	N	5,130	3.33	0.88			11.1%
24 8	SD Master Chorale	3.67	0.94	`	19,685	11.7%	2	4,343	3.67	0.94			11.9%
25 (25 Common Ground Thea	3.33	0.88			13.5%	2	26,967	3.33	0.88	113,515	12,751	11.2%
26 5	3D Men's Chorus		0.82	Ì		11.1%		8,480	3.67	0.94			12.1%
27 4	27 Actors Alliance of SD		0.88			12.1%		9,084	3.33	0.88			11.6%
28 5	3D Early Music Society		0.88			12.4%	A Program	19,150	3.33	0.88			11.7%
29 8	3D Young Artists Symp		0.88			12.1%		18,509	3.33	0.88			11.8%
308	SD Museum Council		0.88			12.2%	haran haran	18,199	3.33	0.88			11.8%
	Samahan	3.33	0.88			12.2%	Control of the Contro	15,000	3.33	0.88			11.8%
32 1	Women's History Muse	3.33	0.88			12.9%	Phorps to phorps to phorps to phorps to select	9,000	3.33	0.88	76,450	000'6	11.8%
33 8	33 Sledgehammer Theatre	3.33	0.88			11.6%		16,724	3.33	0.88	76,018		11.9%
34 (Chamber Music Ensem	3.67	0.94		9,258	13.4%		14,928	3.67	0.94	67,855		13.0%
35	SD Guild of Puppetry	3.67	0.94			14.1%		14,101	3.67	0.94	64,095		13.1%
3 98	36 SD Women's Chorus	29.8	0.94	33,	4,996	14.8%		8,947	3.67	0.94	37,281	5,258	14.1%
37 F	ritz Theatre	2.00	0.64	,19		0.0%		7,254	3.00	0.82	29,055		8.9%
38	NewWorks/Vantage Th	3.00	0.82	26,382	3,531	13.4%		7,000	3.00	0.82			12.8%
	SD New Music					THE STATE OF THE S		2,756	2.67	0.76	11,023	0	%0.0
							and the bands of the same of t						
. 68	39 Totals for Level III	3.28	0.87	5,761,981	574,773	10.0%	1,10	1,101,306	3.43	0.90	5,884,142	596,218	10.1%
62					09 TOTA	09 TOTAL REQUESTS		12.099.583			149,812,472	6,449,180	
						DRAFT	-			-			

Department Title	Job Clas Title	Transfer Description	FTE
City Comptroller	Accountant II	50 to 51 Transfer	(2.00)
	Accountant IV	50 to 51 Transfer	(1.00)
	Asst City Auditor & Compt	50 to 51 Transfer	(1.00)
	Principal Accountant	50 to 51 Transfer	(1.00)
City Comptroller Total			(5.00)
City Auditor	Accountant II	50 to 51 Transfer	2.00
	Accountant IV	50 to 51 Transfer	1.00
	Assoc Management Analyst	230 to 51 Transfer	1.00
	Department Director	50 to 51 Transfer	1.00
	Principal Accountant	50 to 51 Transfer	1.00
City Auditor Total			6.00
Labor Relations	Program Manager	Dept 270 to 61	1.00
Labor Relations Total			1.00
City Planning and Community			
Investment	Biologist III	065 t0 449 FTE	(1.00)
City Planning and Community Invest			(1.00)
Special Events	Program Manager	Dept 80 to 925 Transfer	(2.00)
	Public Information Clerk	Dept 80 to 925 Transfer	(1.00)
Special Events Total	L done inclination clerk	Topi do to 320 Hansier	(3.00)
Administration	Assistant Chief Operating Officer	101/210 to 290 Transfer	(1.00)
Adminstration	Executive Secretary	101/210 to 290 Transfer	
			(1.00)
	Program Manager	101/210 to 290 Transfer	(2.00)
	Public Information Clerk	Dept 101 to 890 Transfer	(1.00)
	Sr Management Analyst	Dept 102 to 101 Transfer (EOCP)	6.00
	Supv Management Analyst	Dept 102 to 101 Transfer (EOCP)	2.00
	Word Processing Operator	Dept 102 to 101 Transfer (EOCP)	1.00
Administration Total			4.00
	Account Clerk	102 to 810	(1.00)
Purchasing & Contracting	Payroll Specialist I	810 to 102	1.00
	Sr Management Analyst	Dept 102 to 101 (EOCP)	(6.00)
	Supv Management Analyst	Dept 102 to 101 (EOCP)	(2.00)
	Word Processing Operator	Dept 102 to 101 (EOCP)	(1.00)
Purchasing & Contracting Total			(9.00)
Police	Parking Enforcement Officer I	Street/PD to Storm Water	(9.00)
	Parking Enforcement Officer II	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00)
	Public Works Supv	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00)
Police Total			(11.00)
Office Of Ethics & Integrity	Assoc Management Analyst	230 to 51 Transfer	(1.00)
Office Of Ethics & Integrity Total			(1.00)
Public Safety	Program Manager	Dept 270 to 61 Transfer	(1.00)
Public Safety Total	1		(1.00)
Community Services	Deputy Chief	101/210 to 290 Transfer	1.00
30,,,a,, 30,, 1,000	Executive Secretary	101/210 to 290 Transfer	1.00
	Program Manager	101/210 to 290 Transfer	
Community Services Total	jriogram Manager	101/210 to 290 Transler	2.00
Park & Rec - Administrative Svcs	Supy Dublic Info Officer	1441 to 442	4.00
	Supv Public Info Officer	441 to 443	1.00
Park & Rec - Administrative Svcs To		1444 45 443	1.00
Developed Regional Parks	Supv Public Info Officer	441 to 443	(1.00)
Developed Regional Parks Total	[D: 1- :- : 1]	1005 10 4 10	(1.00)
Open Space Division	Biologist III	065 t0 449	1.00
Open Space Division Total			1.00
	Administrative Aide I	Dept 750 to 511	1.00
Energy Sustainablty & Env Prot	Assoc Management Analyst	Dept 514 to 511, 750, 758	0.50
	Deputy Director	Dept 514 to 511, 750, 758	0.05
Energy Sustainablty & Env Prot Tota			1.55
Collection Services	Assoc Management Analyst	512 to 513	(1.00)
Collection Services Total			(1.00)
Office of the Director	Assoc Management Analyst	512 to 513	1.00
	- ·	t	

Office of the Director Total			1.00
General Services Administration	Laboratory Technician	533 and 530 Transfer - Lab Tech	1.00
General Services Administration Tol			1.00
Storm Water Total	Assoc Engineer-Civil	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Asst Engineer-Civil	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Cement Finisher	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Clerical Assistant II	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Equipment Operator I	Street/PD to Storm Water	4.00
	Equipment Operator II	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Equipment Operator III	Street/PD to Storm Water	2.00
	Equipment Technician I	Street/PD to Storm Water	2.00
	Equipment Technician II	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Field Representative	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Heavy Truck Driver II	Street/PD to Storm Water	5.00
	Laboratory Technician	533 and 530	(1.00
	Motor Sweeper Operator	Street/PD to Storm Water	24.00
	Motor Sweeper Supv	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Parking Enforcement Officer I	Street/PD to Storm Water	9.00
	Parking Enforcement Officer II	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Plant Process Control Electrician	Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Public Works Superintendent	Street/PD to Storm Water	
	Public Works Superintendent	Street/PD to Storm Water Street/PD to Storm Water	1.00
	Utility Worker I		5.00
	Other 1	Dept 534 to 533 Transfer	1.00
	I Milia VA/ and and H	Street/PD to Storm Water	8.00
	Utility Worker II	Street/PD to Storm Water	4.00
	IA E CONTRACTOR		74.00
Street Division	Assoc Engineer-Civil	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Asst Engineer-Civil	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Cement Finisher	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Clerical Assistant II	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Equipment Operator I	Street/PD to Storm Water	(4.00
	Equipment Operator II	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Equipment Operator III	Street/PD to Storm Water	(2.00
	Equipment Technician I	Street/PD to Storm Water	(2.00
	Equipment Technician II	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Field Representative	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Heavy Truck Driver II	Street/PD to Storm Water	(5.00
	Motor Sweeper Operator	Street/PD to Storm Water	(24.00
	Motor Sweeper Supv	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Plant Process Control Electrician	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Public Information Clerk	Dept 538 and 534 Dispatch Cntr	(2.00
	Public Works Dispatcher	Dept 538 and 534 Dispatch Cntr	(2.00
	Public Works Superintendent	Street/PD to Storm Water	(1.00
	Public Works Supv	Street/PD to Storm Water	(4.00
	Utility Worker I	Dept 534 to 533 Transfer	(1.00
	,	Street/PD to Storm Water	(8.00
	Utility Worker II	Street/PD to Storm Water	(4.00
Street Division Total	1-1-1	Tourself D to Storin Water	(68.00
Station 38	Public Information Clerk	Dept 538 and 534 Dispatch Cntr	2.00
	Public Works Dispatcher	Dept 538 and 534 Dispatch Crit	2.00
Station 38 Total	1. dono tronto diapatonei	popi ooo and oo4 Dispatch Citt	4.00
Field Engineering	Assoc Engineer-Civil	Dept 543 and 549 Transfer	
	Asst Engineer-Civil	Dept 543 and 548 Transfer	(1.00
ield Engineering Total	17391 ENGINEER-CIVII	Dept 543 and 548 Transfer	1.00
icia Fudiuceilia Taidi	LAcoca Engineer Obel	TD4 540 1540 T	3.5
Project Implement & Tech Services	Assoc Engineer-Civil	Dept 543 and 548 Transfer	1.00
	Asst Engineer-Civil	Dept 543 and 548 Transfer	(1.00
Project Implement & Tech Services Customer Services	Public Information Clerk		6 10 3 .
	uzumuo intormotion ('iork	Dept 101 to 890 Transfer	1.00